

I don't really know why I am here,  
because I haven't really got anything  
more to add to what I wrote in my  
submission, except that I understand  
the job of Mr Partridge was to specifically to  
report ~~on~~ on the health of the tree <sup>and the</sup> <sup>original</sup>  
decision <sup>has</sup> <sup>been</sup> <sup>made</sup> <sup>as</sup> <sup>at</sup>

I therefore wonder if any member of  
the council has been to look at, or  
been on to our property, ~~so~~ to see  
what the tree looks like from our house  
because I just think it is now so ugly,  
and <sup>so</sup> dirty, always with dead branches  
hanging from it, that it should be  
removed from the notable tree list.

I understand that the gentleman who  
originally put it on, is now happy for  
it to be removed.  
Obviously, it is not our responsibility,  
but if by chance, the tree, or any part of  
it, was to fall and damage person, or  
property, including ours, who is respons-  
ible for that damage, should it occur.

Considering all this, I feel the landowner  
should be able to trim, or remove the  
tree as he so wishes.

I just don't see it serving any purpose  
any more, or it is